BIG DATA UNIT - 3

In Memory Computation

feedback/corrections: vibha@pesu.pes.edu VIBHAMASTI

Hadoop challenges

- No iterative support from language
- · Must do manually

SCALA

- scalable Language
- Object -oriented , compiled into Java bytecode (runs on JVM)

hello.scala compileas scala.class

- Can reference Java libraries
- Blends ⁰⁰ and FP (functional programming)
- strongly statically typed

what's wrong with Java?

- Verbose ^Cboilerplate)
- Not designed to be very concurrent ^Cbefore Java 5+7

What's right with Java?

- Popular
- 00
-
- · strongly typed
· Library of classes large
- JVM platform independent

```
Java vs Scala
```

```
Almost completely interoperable
 •
                                               Scala
              javac
                             Java
                                                             scalac
                                      JVM
         SCALA JAVA
// Declaring variables
                                         class Test {
var x: Int = 7 // explicit type
                                              public static void main(String[] args) {
                                                  int x = 7;
var x = 7 // type inferred
val y = "hi" // read-only (constant)
                                                 final String y = "hi";
// Functions
def square(x: Int): Int = x*x
def square(x: Int): Int = {
                                         class Example {
                                              int square(int x) {
    x*x
                                                 return x*x;
def announce(text: String) = {
                                              void announce(String text) {
    println(text)
                                                 System.out.println(text);
}
                                         }
 •
no return keyword
   features similar to JS & python
 •
```
Major Differences

- 1. Minimal verbosity
- 2. Referential Transparency
	- type inferencing in Scala
	- compiler checks type of sub expressions , atomic values

3. Concurrency

- Actor model
- Akka open-source framework for Actor-based concurrency

4. Functional Programming

- Higher order functions that can return another function
- ^ Nested functions

1. Minimal Verbosity

been moved to http://cleancoder.com/) • Getters & setters Cnote:ppt link does not work; objectmentor has

• Java

```
class Person {
    private String firstName;
    private String lastName;
    private int age;
    public Person(String firstName, String lastName, int age) {
         this.firstName = firstName;
         this.lastName = lastName;
         this.age = age;
    public void setFirstName(String firstName) { this.firstName = firstName; } 
     public String getFirstName() { return this.firstName; }
    public void setLastName(String lastName) { this.lastName = lastName; } 
     public String getLastName() { return this.lastName; }
     public void setAge(int age) { this.age = age; }
     public int getAge() { return this.age; }
```
}

· Scala Cautomatic)

class Person(var firstName: String, var lastName: String, var age: Int)

2. Type Inferring

- Java is statically typed
	- type errors caught by compiler
- Ruby 4 Python do not require declared types
	- harder to debug
	- not type safe

°

•

- Scala is statically typed but it uses type inferencing
	- type errors caught by compiler
	- https://docs.scala-lang.org/tour/type-inference.html

val collegeName = "PES University" // const reference

*def squareOf(x: Int) : x * x // def method that cannot be reassigned*

consistency

- . Java: every value is a type, except primitive types Cint, bool) for efficiency reasons
- Scala: every value is an object; compiler turns into primitives for efficiency
- Java has operators & methods with different syntaxes
- In Scala , operators are methods and either syntax can be used

3. Concurrency

•

- concurrency vs parallelism
	- Concurrency creates illusion of parallelism; can execute multiple threads on the same core
	- concurrency achieved through context switching
	- Parallelism requires multiple cores to run multiple computations simultaneously
- Fine-grained concurrency: frequent interactions between threads working together
	- difficult to implement right
	- requires locks on shared resources
- coarse-grained concurrency: infrequent interactions between largely independent sequential processes
	- easier to get right
	- map- reduce
	- not at cycle level

• Java 5 & 6 — reasonable support for Fine-grained concurrency

- Scala has access to the Java API
- Scala also has Actors for coarse-grained parallelism
	- Sending messages using send ! Abstraction

message Map - > Reduce

4. Functional Programming

- Problem with concurrency : acquire locks
- If prog language does not allow modification of variables , locks not required
- Functional programming languages use only immutable data leg: ML, OCami, Haskell, Iisp)
- Difficult to learn
- \cdot Scala is an impure functional language can program functionally but not forced upon you
- Features
	- (a) Immutable
		- functional operations create new structures and do not modify existing structures
	- (b) Program implicitly captures data flow
	- (c) Order of operations unimportant
	- (d) Functions
		- are objects
		- arguments
		- can be returned
		- can operate on collections

Quicksort in Scala - functional programming

 \bullet

Does this sort array in ascending order or descending order? Q:

```
def sort(xs: Array[Int]): Array[Int] = {if (xs.length \Leftarrow 1) xs
  elseval pivot = xs(xs.length / 2)Array.concat(
       sort(xs filter (pivot >)),<br>xs filter (pivot ==),<br>sort(xs filter (pivot <))),
                                                              > ascendingxs filter (pivot ==),
  3
\mathbf{1}
```

```
q: Consider the program with array
  xs = 3,1,2,0,7,6,4,5
```
Write a program to sort in the reverse order (if ascending, sort descending) How can we parallelize this?

```
def sort (xs: Array CInt]): Array CInt] = {
```

```
if Cxs.
length < = 1) ✗s
```

```
else {
```

```
sort Cxs filter (pivot <)),
                                 \left\{ \right.can parallelise
✗s filter (
pivot = =)
,
                                     filtering tasks
sort Cxs filter (pivot >)
```

```
}
```
}

```
Functional Programming & Functions
                                         list remains unchanged
```
val list = $List(1, 2, 3)$ list.foreach(x => $print(n(x))$ // prints 1, 2, 3 list.foreach(println) // same

 $listmap(x => x + 2)$ // returns a new List(3, 4, 5)
 $listmap(_ + 2)$ // same

list.filter($x \Rightarrow x \& 2 == 1$)// returns a new List(1, 3) $list.fiter(_\% 2 == 1)$ // same

list.reduce((x, y) => x + y) // => 6 $list.readuce(_\ +_)$ // same Functional Programming & Big Data

- Independent parallel operations
	- mapc) in FP
- Parallel operations to be consolidated
	- aggregation C) of FP

SPARK

•

• Most cluster prog models : DAG ^C Directed Acyclic Graph)

- Advantages of Hadoop
	- 1- Input HDFS → output HDFS
	- 2. User specified no . of MIR
	- 3. Handle failures
- Issues of Hadoop (think: page rank)
	- 1. Iterative
	- 2. Every iteration requires write to disk
	- 3. 0 /^P of reducer → input of mapper Cincy out of disk]
- Look: Haloop

In-Memory computation

val (lines) scala.io.Source.fromFile("textfile.txt").getLines val words < Nines.flatMap(line => line.split("")).toIterable val (count) = $\cos 4s .$ group By (identity) . map (words => words. $1 \ge \infty$ words. 2. size) \longrightarrow compute word count val (top10)= counts, to Array.sortBy(_. 2). reverse.take(10) println(top10.mkstring\\n"))

> each operation creates data value that can be

> > kept in memory & reused

Each iteration reads data from memory and writes it back to memory

Problems

•

- 1- Too large for RAM ; how to deal with overflows
- 2. How to split across DRAM of entire cluster
- 3. How to handle failures (power failures)

DISTRIBUTED DATASET

Flume: import logs into HDFs (error logs, activity logs etc)

Example Log Processing

•

- Load from log into memory
- Search for patterns

```
lines = spark.textFile("hdfs://...")errors = lines.filter(startswithERROR())messages = errors.map(split("\t"),2)
cachedMsgs = messages.cache()
```
cachedMsgs.filter(containsfoo()).count() cachedMsgs.filter(containsbar()).count()

Distribute the computation

Resilient Distributed Dataset CRDD)

- ° Add lineage information to the concept of a distributed dataset
- Ability to recreate in case of failure
- Keep track of operations performed on RDD and to create RDD
- Types of operations support

RDD Operations

- 1. Transformations
- 2. Actions

Transformation

• create new dataset from existing dataset ' Eg: mapl) in Scala

Table 3-2. Basic RDD transformations on an RDD containing {1, 2, 3, 3}

θ : I = {47,39,22,25,36}

1. filter $(x = 1$ $(x = 2) = 1)$

 $47 - 2 = 1$ \rightarrow true 47·/•2 = = 1 → true
39·/·2 = =1 → true 39%2 ==1 --> true
22%2 ==1 --> false 22:/2 == 1 ----> false
25:/2 == 1 ---> true 36%2=-4 → false

 $fintered = \{47, 39, 25\}$

 $8: n_0$ { 47, 39, 22} , {25,36}

1. filter $(x = \sqrt{2\%2}) = 1$

n. {47,393 , 125}

[" line one " , ["] line two"]

V

✓

split each line

((" line" , "one"], C"line", "two"]]

combine

(" line" , " one " , "line", " twoⁿ]

Transformations on 2 RDDS

Actions

° Operations that return a value

- Eg: reduce 1)

Table 3-4. Basic actions on an RDD containing {1, 2, 3, 3}

RDD Operations on key-value pairs

· Spark: pair RODs

Table 4-1. Transformations on one patr RDD (example: {(1, 2), (3, 4), (3, 6)})

Q: why lazy execution?

- Clubbing transformations reduce net traffic
- Bring data into memory once
- · Optimisations can be performed

spark log mining Example

 \int lines = spark.textFile("hdfs://...") \longrightarrow base RDD
errors = lines.filter(startswithERROR()) $messages = errorsmap(split("t");2) \longrightarrow transformed$ RDP $cachedMsgs = messages.cache()$

cachedMsgs.filter(containsfoo()).count()→ action cachedMsgs.filter(containsbar()).count()

RDDS- Details

- Partitioned , locality aware , distributed collection
- RDDS are immutable Cno partial state with multiple threads)
- RDDS are DS that either
	- point to data source CHDFS)
	- apply a transformation to parent RDDs to generate new elements
- Computations on RDDS
	- lazily evaluated lineage DAGS composed of chained RDDS

Why RDD Abstraction ?

- Support operations other than MR
- Support in-memory computation
- Arbitrary composition of such operators
- Simplify scheduling (order of generation of RDDS)

Representing RDDS

- s
plits — set of partitions (machines)
	- like Hadoop, each RDD associated with input partition

- · List of dependencies on parent RDDs
-
-
- Function to compute partitions given parents Optional preferred locations Optional partitioning info (partitioner for shuffle)

RDDS Interface

Hadoop RDD (map)

```
Partitions -
one per block
Dependencies -
none
compute Cpartition)
-
read corresponding block
Preferred locations - HDFS block location
partitioner -
none
```
Filtered RDD

Partitions - same as parent Dependencies - 1-1 with parent compute - compute parent and filter it Preferred locations - ask parent (none) partitioner - none

Join RDD

Partitions - one per reduce task Dependencies - many to one compute - read and join shuffled data Preferred locations - none Partitioner - Hash Partitioner

ReduceBykey RDD

• Transformation

Partitions - one per key Dependencies - many to many con all parent nodes) compute - reduce data and send Preferred locations - subset of parent partitions involved partitioner - hash

Spark Scheduling

DAG Representation

```
lines = textfile("urls.txt")
links = lines.map (lambda urls: urls.split()).groupByKey().cache()
ranks = links.map(lambda url neighbors: (url neighbors[0], 1.0))
for iteration in range(MAXITER)):
     contribs = links.join(ranks).flatMap(lambda url neighbors rank:
     computeContribs(url_neighbors_rank))
     ranks = contribs.reduceByKey(add).mapValues(lambda rank: 
     rank*0.85 + 0.15)
                        Input File
```


Note: ranks & links spread across multiple nodes. How does spark ensure join works properly?

- copartition
- If Linus & Ranks partitioned with same function

• Narrow dependency

wide 4 Narrow Partitioning

- 1. Narrow
	- each partition of parent RDD used by at most one partition of child RDD
	- No shuffle ; pipeline operations

2. Wide

- multiple child partitions may depend
- shuffle

copartition: both join inputs partitioned with same function

stage boundary : wide dependency

- So and ^S , can be scheduled in parallel
- . S₂ only after so & s, complete

TASK ASSIGNMENT

• Scheduler assigns tasks to machines based on data locality using delay scheduling

- If partition to be processed available in memory on ^a node, sent to that node
- Otherwise, task processes partition for which the containing RDD provides preferred location and sends to those

Dataframer

- RDDS opaque to spark → cannot parse
- Spark must understand format

· Distributed collection of data into named columns Cabstraction $Dver | RDDs$

```
from pyspark.sql import SQLContext 
       sqlContext = SQLContext(sc)# DataFrames can be created from existing RDDs,
       # HIVE tables or other data sources.
       # Here, from JSON file
       df = sqlContext.jsonFile("pes/students.json")
       # Display the contents
       df.show()
       ## USN name marks
       ## 045 Vkoli 11
       ## 010 Stendul 43
       ## 195 Abachpan28
       # Alternatively, from an existing RDD by naming 
       # the columns
       Df = rdd.toDF('USN", 'name")Using DataFrame# Print the schema in a tree format
               df.printSchema()
               ## root
               # \left| -\right| usn: long (nullable = true)
               # |-- name: string (nullable = true)
               \# |-- marks: long (nullable = true)
               # Select only the "name" column
               df.select("name").show()
               ## name
               ## VKoli
               ## STendul
               ## ABachpan
               # Select everybody, but increment the age by 1
               df.select("name", df.marks + 1).show()
               # name (marks + 1)
               ## Vkoli
                           12
               ## STendul
                           \Delta\Delta## ABachpan
                           29
```
Consider a case where you have data in a CSV file that consists of <pan_number, date, tax_paid> and you wanted to find out the total tax paid by each individual pan holder How will you do it in Spark? $\frac{1}{2}$ to

မာ How will you do it with Spark Data frames?

ca) reduce By Ney (2,y) => 2+y) key = pan-number

(b) select sum (tax-paid) from df group by pan-number (pseudocode)

df = rdd.toDF("pan_number", "date", "tax paid")

df.select("pan_number", "tax_paid").groupBy("pan_number").sum()

other Tools

• spark always preferred

DryadLINQ, FlumeJava

- Similar "distributed collection" API but cannot reuse datasets efficiently across queries

Relational databases

- Lineage/provenance, logical logging, materialized views

GraphLab, Piccolo, BigTable, RAMCloud

- Fine-grained writes similar to distributed shared memory

Iterative MapReduce (e.g. Twister, HaLoop)

- Implicit data sharing for a fixed computation pattern

Caching systems (e.g. Nectar)

- Store data in files, no explicit control over what is cached

Big Data Algorithm Complexity

Q : complexity of matrix multiplication on single node ?

Q: complexity of database query?

hashtable amortised ⁰¹¹) } in - memory

bst /rbt — OClogn)

complexity depends on disk reads

cost of BD Algorithms

- Algorithms tend to be 01h) (map - reduce)
- · Network speed << CPU speed
- · Disk speed « CPU speed
- Majorly impacted by communication time

communication cost

- Depends on input size
- Final olp usually smaller by aggregation

mapper I/P complexity: r+s Reducer YP complexity : rts

Total complexity: O(r+s)

Q: complexity of natural join of R, ^S ,T


```
total cost = 0(r+ s+t+ prs)
```
case 2: join S₂T and then R

Let q = prob of join of s, τ

Total cost: O(r+s+t+qst)

If p~q, join min (rs, st, rt)

wall clock Time

- Time taken for entire job to finish
- bin 1s /bin 'lime' 1s ^{/bin} real : wall clock time user: time CPU spends executing user code sys : time CPU spends executing system (OS) Code

$Trace-off \longrightarrow$ Parallelism

- Dividing tasks : reduce wall clock time, increase communication time
- Reducer size q (not no. of reducers) - no of unique values with same key
- No of map 01ps T
- q small, more reducers
	- $-$ max reducers T/q
	- reduce WCT, increase CT
- Replication rate r
	- r = Cno of kv pairs in mapper o/p)/ Cno of input records to mapper)
	- **x** avg CT from M tasks to R tasks

Wall Clock Example - SIMILARITY JOIN BETWEEN IMAGES

- \cdot DB of 10⁶ images, 1 MB each (1TB DB)
- similarity function scx ,y) on images ^x and ^y such that s(x,y) = ³دy,x)
- \cdot output all π, γ st s(π, γ) > t

Naive Algorithm

- Each image Pi has index i
- Mapper
	- reads (i, Pi)
	- generates all possible pairs (i, j) , $\{P_i, P_j\})$ Li $\neq j$)

• Reducer

- reads ({i,j}, {Pi,Pj})
- computes scp;, p;)
- Communication cost of naive algorithm?
	- OCn²) where n= no of images (to generate pairs)
- Parallelism of naive algorithm
	- potentially very high as reducer size very high-q each can be processed in parallel
- Replication rate of naive algorithm?
	- OIP of mapper / UP to mapper
	- O(n)

Alternate Solution Clow Communication Cost)

- Reducer runs on same node as mapper
- Very low parallelism

summary - 2 choices

- 1. One pair to each reducer
	- High communication cost Cbad) ° High parallelism cgood)
	-
- 2. Do everything on one node Low parallelism lbad)
	-
	- Low communication cost cgood)
- 3. Something in between?
- Group-Based Algorithm
- Group images

Suppose the groups are GO, Group G0 is sent to nodes 0, 1, ..., 98 Why? Group G0 has to be compared with 99 other groups Group G1 is sent to? $-0, 1,$ Group G1 is sent to 0, 99, 100, ... 196 (0+98 other nodes) Group G2 is sent to? Group G2 is sent to 1, 99, 197, 198, ... 293 (1, 99 +97 other nodes) Group G3 is sent to 2, 100, 197, 294, ...389 (2, 100, 197, +96 other nodes)

- No . of groups = g

- No. of images per group ⁼ m=n/g
- Each group sent to g-¹ servers
- Total no. of messages = g(g-1)
- Total data= mg c_3 -D= n c_3 -D ~ ng = cc
- Parallelism = no. of nodes= (g-1) + (g-2) + (g-3) + \cdots = g(g-1) = 0(g2)

 \mathbf{a}

 \cdot Or, no. of nodes = \textdegree C₂ = g(g-1) $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$

8: Suppose we have groups of 100 How many groups are there? (a) How many nodes is each group sent to? (b) What is the G) Communication cost of the algorithm? Lii>Parallelism of the algorithm?

$$
\omega
$$
 10° images , 10³ per group = 10⁴ groups

(b) Each group sent to 104-1 nodes

$$
(i) CC = ng = 106 images × 104 groups = 1010
$$

(ii) parallelism \sim (10⁴)² = 10°